



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/574,352 | 07/10/2006 | Florence Viaud | 0512-1330 | 5722 |
| 466 | 7590 | 09/15/2010 | | |
| YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BADR, HAMID R | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 1781 | | |
| | | NOTIFICATION DATE | | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | 09/15/2010 | | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

| | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/574,352 | Applicant(s) VIAUD, FLORENCE |
| | Examiner HAMID R. BADR | Art Unit 1781 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE, 7/06/2010.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/06/2010 has been entered.

Claims 1-24 are being considered on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
3. Claim 1 is indefinite for "drawn-curd". The word "drawn-curd" is unsearchable. It is not clear what is meant by this phrase.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dahlstrom et al. (US 6,319,526; hereinafter R1) in view of Kharrazi (US 4,719,113; hereinafter R2).

6. R1 discloses a process of manufacturing a mozzarella variety of cheese or a mozzarella-like cheese wherein the curd form a fibrous mass. (Abstract)

7. R1 discloses that dairy and non-dairy ingredients can be added to the comminuted curd (col. 4, lines 27-31).

8. R1 teaches of incorporating dairy ingredients into the cheese including yogurt and cheese. Such ingredients can be added at 5 to 50% weight basis. (col. 4, lines 59-67) Given that cheese can also be mixed in, it is clear that a mixture of cheeses as presently claimed can also be processed together.

9. Given that a range of GRAS materials can be mixed in the cheese mass, it is obvious that dry matter content of the cheese mass can be increased or decreased as desired and as presently claimed in claim 24.

10. R1 teaches that the texture development temperature is commonly in the range of 135-150 F. (about 57C to about 67C). (col. 5, lines 52-55)

11. R1 discloses the process of heating the curd using waterless cookers. R1 also discloses that the process produces a fibrous mass. (col. 5, lines 10-25).

12. Given that R1 discloses a fermented milk product such as yogurt can be mixed in processed with the cheese, incorporation of other fermented milks such as kefir, as presently claimed, will be obvious to those of skill in the art.

13. Since R1 teaches of incorporating GRAS materials into the cheese and noting that the incorporation of dried fruits, vegetables, nuts, pepper etc. into cheese products is also known in the art. Therefore, the incorporation of apricot pieces into the processed cheese, as presently claimed, will be obvious to those of skill in the art.

14. While R1 discloses a process and the resulting processed cheese in detail, it is noted that R1 is silent regarding the cooling of the cheese mass before mixing with the yogurt product.

15. R2 discloses a yogurt food product having the consistency of cheese.

(Abstract).

16. R2 discloses a process where the base ingredients are mixed and heated to about 82C. The base is then cooled to about 37C and yogurt is mixed with the cooled base. (Col. 2 line 50 to col. 3, line 19).

17. Given that the yogurt is added to the cooled base mixture, the process will have certain advantages namely; protection of the live culture in the added yogurt, prevention of curdling of yogurt at high temperature and low pH, and protection of the flavor imparted by yogurt to the finished product.

18. It is also noted that since some of the yogurt bacteria (lactic acid bacteria) are not heat tolerant, a known fact in the art, it is obvious to cool the mass of cheese before mixing it with yogurt containing live culture, as presently claimed, at least to a temperature to be tolerated by yogurt bacteria.

19. R1 discloses the process and the product where natural cheese may be mixed with yogurt to produce a fibrous cheese and R2 teaches of mixing the yogurt with a

base which is cooled before mixing the yogurt in. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art , at the time the invention was made, to follow the teachings of R1 and mix in the yogurt at a lower temperature as taught by R2. One would do so to protect the live culture in the yogurt, to protect the flavor and prevent the curdling of the added yogurt at low pH and high temperature. Absent any evidence to contrary and based on the combined teachings of the cited references, there would be a reasonable expectation of success in making the processed cheese containing yogurt.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments have been carefully reviewed. In light of the new ground(s) of rejection, these arguments are moot.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HAMID R. BADR whose telephone number is (571)270-3455. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on (571) 272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hamid R. Badr
Examiner
Art Unit 1781

/Keith D. Hendricks/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1781